1 2

# 3

## 4

### 5

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* :

6

25

26

27

28

7	Bank of America v. Woodcrest HOA
	Wells Fargo v. Tides I HOA
8	Nationstar v. Aurora Canyon HOA
	Nationstar v. Shara Sunrise HOA
9	Nationstar v. Augusta Belford and Ellingwood HOA
	1290 Village Walk Trust v. Bank of America
10	Bank of New York Mellon v. Log Cabin Manor HOA
	US Bank v. Ski Way Trust
11	Bank of New York Mellon v. Imagination North
	Bank of America v. Green Valley South
12	Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.
	Bank of America v. Sunrise Ridge
13	Deutsche Bank v. Independence II HOA
14	Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.
	Bank of America v. Peccole Ranch Community Assoc.
15	Nationstar v. SFR Investments Pool
	Bank of Amierca v. Treo North and South HOA
4	Wells Fargo v. SFR Investments Pool
16	US Bank v. Thunder Properties
17	PNC Bank v. Wingfield Springs Community Assoc.
	Nationstar v. Highland Ranch HOA
40	US Bank v. White Lake Ranch Assoc.
18	GMAT Legal Title Trust v. SFR Investments Pool
19	Bank of New York Mellon v. Thunder Properties
19	Bank of America v. North Truckee Townhomes HOA
20	Bank of America v. Grand Sierra Resort Unit Owners
	Bank of America v. Tenaya Creek HOA
21	Bank of America v. Southwest Meadows HOA
	Bank of America v. The Siena HOA
22	Ditech Financial v. Highland Ranch HOA
	Bank of America v. Aspen Meadows
23	US Bank v. Fairway Pines Assoc.
_	LN Mgmt v. Gelgotas
24	Bank of New York Mellon v. Saticoy Bay
	Bank of America v. Southern Highlands
25	Bank of America v. Spanish Bay HOA

Bank of America v. Aliante Master Assoc.

Wells Fargo v. SFT Investments Pool

Bank of America v. Rainbow Bend HOA

Green Tree Servicing v. Rainbow Bend HOA

Countrywide v. Willow Hills Commons HOA

Nationstar v. Summit Hills HOA

US Bank v. SFR Investments Pool

Goldsmith v. U.S. Bank, NA

2:15-cv-00991-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-01193-MMD-GWF 2:15-cv-01204-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-01308-MMD-NJK 2:15-cv-01597-MMD-NJK 2:15-cv-01705-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-01903-MMD-PAL 2:15-cv-02026-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00066-MMD-GWF 2:16-cv-00383-MMD-NJK 2:16-cv-00424-MMD-PAL 2:16-cv-00438-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00467-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00536-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00605-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00660-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00703-MMD-GWF 2:16-cv-00845-MMD-NJK 3:15-cv-00240-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00328-MMD-WGC 3:15-cv-00349-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00375-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00477-MMD-VPC 3:15-cv-00518-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00097-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00135-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00146-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00158-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00183-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00188-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00194-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00413-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00446-MMD-VPC 2:15-cv-00112-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00246-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-00404-MMD-NJK 2:16-cv-00691-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-00962-MMD-CWH 2:16-cv-01069-MMD-VCF 2:16-cv-01637-MMD-GWF 2:16-cv-01719-MMD-CWH

3:15-cv-00291-MMD-WGC

3:15-cv-00297-MMD-WGC

3:15-cv-00333-MMD-VPC

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
8	
19	
20	

3:15-cv-00502-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00004-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00120-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00154-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00192-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00227-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00307-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00351-MMD-VPC

#### ORDER LIFTING STAY

The Court *sua sponte* imposed a temporary stay in the above referenced cases because of the potential impact of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016). The stay order provides for the stay to be in effect until the mandate is issued in *Bourne Valley*. The Ninth Circuit issued the mandate on December 14, 2016, after denying a petition for en banc hearing and a petition for a stay pending the resolution of a petition for writ of certiorari. *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, No. 15-15233 (9th Cir. Dec. 14, 2016) (mandate). Furthermore, the appellee specifically cited this Court's stay order in its request for a stay of the Ninth Circuit's mandate, arguing that delaying the mandate would promote efficiency. Nevertheless, the Ninth Circuit denied appellee's motion. Thus, *Bourne Valley*'s holding is binding precedent unless and until it is reversed, though such finality may not occur for months. Under these circumstances, the Court finds that a continued stay would not promote fairness or "secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of these stayed cases as mandated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Accordingly, the temporary stay will be lifted. Pending motions that were denied without prejudice when the stay was imposed may be refiled within thirty (30) days.

DATED THIS 19th day of January 2017.

Miranda M. DU

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28

21

22

23

24

25

26

27